The role of climate forecast in water resources management - Case studies in Southeast Asia -

Shinjiro KANAE

Research Institute for Humanity and Nature, Kyoto IIS, The University of Tokyo

Acknowledgement to

- Staff and students of IIS, University of Tokyo (= Taikan Oki and his group)
- Staff and students of University of Yamanashi (= Yukiko Hirabayashi and her group)
- Staff of JMA (Mr. Yamada, Mr. Maeda,)
- Staff of RIHN
- Dr. Jun Matsumoto, Dr. Akiyo Yatagai.
- Many colleagues in agencies in Southeast Asia (e.g. TMD, RID, ...)

Contents

2006 Floods in the Chao Phraya River

- One-month climate forecast for Mekong flood.
- A new gridded precipitation dataset for validation of climate forecast.



Introduction: many floods in 2006



Aug. ~ the beginning of Oct.

Floods 5 times

The death 104

The completely destroyed 51

The partially destroyed 8779

The amount of damage 17 billion B

 The damaged farmland 3856 km²

 Compensation to farmer (Mr. Chatchai) 2000 B/rai (Mr. Panya) 500 B/rai

http://www.business-i.jp/news/worldpage/news/200610250026a.nwc

1rai = 1600 m²



18th October 2006











Rule curve of a dam-reservoir

Bhumipol Dam-reservoir



Flood in Ayutthaya and Ang Thong



Interview in Ang Thong -1



Sex distinction	Female
Estimated age	40-50s
Occupation	Shop owner
Lives in	area along the main road of Ang Thong

- Worse than 1995, 2001 floods
- 20-30 cm/day increase
- Information by TV
 (3 wks prior to flood)
- Temporary walls made about 3 days before flood (concrete)
- Most trouble : toilet

Typhoon "Xangsane" arrived ...



Typhoon Track





Summary up to here

- Numerical climate forecast data is probably not used for actual purpose in many countries.
- Information is useful,
 both for "few weeks beforehand" (← climate)
 and "few days beforehand" (← weather).
- We should study:
 - Current accuracy of few weeks climate forecast.
 - How to use "non-perfect" climate forecast for water management.

Current accuracy and uncertainty of one-month rainfall forecast

- Target basin is the Mekong.
- JMA one-month forecast (hindcast) for 1992-2001.
- Spatial resolution is 2.5 degree.
- Evaluation is based on one-month rainfall. (basically 10day-forecast*3 =30day is used.) (sometimes 30day-forecast is used.)
- Observed precipitation data is collected mostly as a part of GAME/MAHASRI.

Major Flood disasters in the Mekong

	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
VNM					10/9				7/7	8/28
									11/18	11/4
KHM			7/31		9/30					8/26
					10/9					
THA				9/8	8/15	9/18				5/4
										8/11
										8/31
LAO	10/5				8/15			10/18		10/20
MMR										

O2.5-degree grid and observation stations

上流域・・・格子A、B、C、D 中流域・・・格子E、F、G、H、I、J、K、L、O 下流域・・・格子M、N



Observation stations

Flooded points

Correlation between observation and forecast (Precipitation)



August

September

Flood of 2000 July 7th: Forecast error and Ensemble uncertainty



Forecast Error (anomaly is compared)

Flood of 2000 July 7th: Forecast error based on 10-day forecast and 30-day f

- -200

%





For the validation of forecast, observed precipitation dataset is very important!!

APHRODITE's Water Resources

(Asian Precipitation – Highly-Resolved Observational Data Integration Towards Evaluation of the Water Resources)

Global Environmental Research Fund by the Ministry of Environment, Japan (Project B062 Approved as a three year project; May 2006 – March 2009)

Principal Investigator: Dr. Akiyo Yatagai (RIHN)

Research Institute for Humanity and Nature (RIHN) Co-PI: Dr. Akio Kitoh, Meteorological Research Institute (MRI)/ Japan Meteorological Agency (JMA) Members: Akiyo YATAGAI, Shinjiro KANAE, Tsugihiro WATANABE, Jumpei KUBOTA, Itsuki HANDOH (RIHN)

Akio KITOH, Kenji KAMIGUCHI, Osamu ARAKAWA (MRI/JMA)

Project Home Page: http://www.chikyu.ac.jp/precip/aphrodite.htm

APHRODITE precipitation data collection







Cross Validation Tests

- Cross validation tests are conducted for 365 days of 1997;
- Each time, daily precipitation observations at 10% randomly selected stations are withdrawn and data at the remaining 90% stations are used to define the daily analyses at 0.05° lat/lon grid resolution;
- This is repeated for 10 time so that each station is dropped once;
- Withdrawn station observations are compared to analyzed values at the gauge location to examine the accuracy of the daily analyses;

Accuracy – Gauge Network Density

- Analyses were made without 10% stations (10 times);
- Correlation between the withdrawn station observations and the analysis is calculated for each station;
- Scatter plots between correlation and the distance to the closest station are examined;



My analysis is preliminary. Your help is necessary.

- Let's share climate-forecast dataset. (database for everyone is preferable.)
- Let's make a good observation dataset of precipitation over Asia. (Gridded data is easy to use!)
- Let's investigate from the viewpoint of
 - current accuracy
 - required accuracy for application
 - remove bias, and consider ensemble-uncertainty
 - importance of time-scale for application (several weeks? several days? hours?)

Thank you very much

○アンサンブル予報のばらつき 2000年7月7日の洪水 ・・・ (2000/6/8-2000/7/7の30日積算降水量の比較)



観測

予測 約10日先の予測

予測降水量は観測降水量の半分程度と過小評価気味





- Annant and C 0 - 20 21 - 40 **— 41 - 60** 61 - 80 81 - 100 101 - 120 121 - 140 141 - 160 **161 - 180** 181 - 200 201 -

予測スコア 30日先の予測 アンサンブルのばらつき 30日先の予測

%

