Exercise for Guidance

TCC Training Seminar on Application of Seasonal Forecast GPV Data to Seasonal Forecast Products 18-21 January 2011

Climate Prediction Division, JMA

1. Introduction

Guidance is a statistical downscaling technique from GPV data predicted by numerical model (Fig. 1). Although it is possible to use GPV data for surface elements such as surface temperature and precipitation, there is a possibility to increase accuracy after using guidance. In general, guidance uses some elements such as 500hPa height and 850hPa temperature over the target areas. However, the indices associated with El Niño phenomenon may be more effective in tropics. The purpose of this training is to understand how to make guidance for your countries.



2. Single Regression model

Our situation is that we have a time series of meteorological variable to forecast and a set of time series of other variables obviously related to the former. The former and the latter elements are predictand and predictor, respectively. Our purpose is to predict the future value of predictand using the relationship between predictand and predictors and the present values of predictors.

In order to help the recognition of regression method, let consider the simplest case,

that is, single regression. It is a predictive approach using one predictor.

Single regression model is written as

 $Y = ax + b + \epsilon$

Y is objective variable (i.e. predictand), \mathbf{x} is predictor, \mathbf{a} is regression coefficient, \mathbf{b} is constant. $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ is error term.



Figure 2 What is Single Regression?

Y is predictand.

X is some other variable that can be used to predict demand.

2-1 Normalization of precipitation

The histogram of temperature is generally normal (Gaussian) distribution but that of precipitation is usually Gamma distribution and has gaps from Gaussian distribution. The error distribution of regression model is assumed normal distribution, so precipitation data is needed to take normalization (Fig. 3). The simplest method is power technique. JMA seasonal forecast guidance is used the power of 1/4 for precipitation and snowfall.



Figure 3 Histogram of precipitation

Raw precipitation data have gap from Gaussian distribution (left).

After taking power of 1/4, the histogram fit Gaussian distribution (right).

Both bold lines indicate Gaussian distribution.

2-2 Methods

Preparation

Observation data ExerciseForGuidance.xls GPVdata.xls

Indices.xls

1st step

Open the ExerciseForGuidance.xls.

Paste observation data on a Temperature/Precipitation worksheet.

And input "=AVERAGE(C4:C33)" at C34 to calculate normal.

In case of precipitation, input ="C4^0.25" at D4 to calculate the power of 1/4 and copy D4 and paste D5:D33.

3 2 M	icrosoft Excel - ExerciseFo	rGuidanceFor	Sample							
9	ファイル(<u>E</u>) 編集(<u>E</u>) 表	际(⊻) 挿入(<u>[I</u>] 書式(<u>O</u>) ツ	ノール(<u>エ</u>) デー	タ(<u>D</u>) ウィンI	ドウ(<u>W</u>) ヘルフ	⁷ (<u>Н</u>)			
	💕 🖬 🖪 🔒 🗐 🚳 🔼	ASC 🔣 🐰	🗈 🖺 • 🟈 💆) - (* - 😣	$\Sigma \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} A \downarrow \\ Z \downarrow \\ A \downarrow \end{array}$	100% 🚯	•	• 🕜 📑 M S Pゴシ	ック	
	C4 🗸	f ≈ 25.7								
	A	В	C	D	E	F	G	н	I	J
1	Tokyo	JAPAN	Observation				Forecast		Probabilistic Forecast	
2	Year	Target	Mean Temp.	Predictor 1	Predictor 2	Predictor 3	Xs	Regression Error	N(Xs, σ'n)	4
3	1070	1 IA	06 g C						Prob. of above-normal	-
5	1980	. LIA	23.6							-
6	1981	JJA	24.2							
7	1982	JJA	23.9							
8	1983	JJA	23.9							
9	1984	JJA	25.5							
10	1985	JJA	24.8							
11	1986	JJA	23.9							
12	1000	JJA	20.0							-
14	1989		23.5							
15	1990	JJA	25.9							
16	1991	JJA	25.3							
17	1992	JJA	24.4							
18	1993	JJA	23.0							
19	1994	JJA	26.5							
20	1995	JJA	25.4							
21	1996	JJA	24.9							
22	1997	JUA	20.4							
24	1999	JUA	25.7							
25	2000	JJA	26.2							
26	2001	JJA	26.0							
27	2002	JJA	25.9							
28	2003	JJA	24.0							
29	2004	JJA	26.5							
30	2005	JJA	25.6							
31	2006	JJA	25.2							
33	2007	. LIA	25.0							
34	Normal	0001	25.0							-
35			slope	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		σn		
36	Single Regression		intercept	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!				
37			Correlation	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!				
38										
39			slope	#VALUE	#VALUE	#VALUE				+
40	Multi Regression		intercept	#VALUE	1					
41			Correlation	#DIV/0!						

For Example: Paste temperature data on JJA in Tokyo from 1979 to 2008.

2nd step

Open GPVdata.xls and Indices.xls.

Select a predictor and Paste it on D (in case of precipitation, E) line. Try each of predictors until you can find the most effective predictor.

Note: Prepared predictors are anomalies from normal.

X M	icrosoft Excel - ExerciseFo	rGuidanceFo	rSample							
	ファイル(<u>E</u>) 編集(<u>E</u>) 表	示(⊻) 挿入((<u>I</u>) 書式(<u>O</u>) 、	ソール <u>(エ</u>) デー	タ(<u>D</u>) ウィンI	ドウ(<u>W</u>) ヘル	プ(<u>H</u>)			
1	🞽 📕 🔓 🔒 🛃 🗳	ABS 🕄 🐰	🗈 🛍 • 🛷 •) - (* - 😣	$\Sigma \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} A \\ Z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Z \\ A \end{bmatrix}$	100% 🦓 🗓		• 🕜 📕 MS Pゴシ	ッック	
	D3 🗸	∱ Z3040								
	A	В	C	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J
1	Tokyo	JAPAN	Observation				Forecast		Probabilistic Forecast	
2	Year	Target	Mean Temp.	Predictor 1	Predictor 2	Predictor 3	Xs	Regression Error	N(Xs, ơn)	
3	4070	1.10	deg C	23040					Prob. of above-normal	
4	1979	JJA	20.7	-4.08						
6	1900	LIA	23.0	-0.79						
7	1987	. LIA	24.2	-0.45						
8	1983	. L IA	23.9	-5.55						
9	1984	. LIA	25.5	-4.57						
10	1985	JJA	24.8	-416						
11	1986	JJA	23.9	-4.75						
12	1987	JJA	25.5	-4.45						
13	1988	JJA	23.9	1.86						
14	1989	JJA	24.0	-1.56						
15	1990	JJA	25.9	-0.52						
16	1991	JJA	25.3	0.25						
17	1992	JJA	24.4	-4.52						
18	1993	JJA	23.0	-7.23						
19	1994	JJA	26.5	-3.21						
20	1995	JJA	25.4	0.92						
21	1996	JJA	24.9	-0.59						
22	1997	JJA	25.4	1.73						
23	1998	JJA	24.7	7.74						
24	1999	JJA	25.7	3.7						
25	2000	JJA	26.2	1.38						
26	2001	JJA	26.0	3.81						
27	2002	JJA	25.9	3.84						
28	2003	JJA	24.0	3.47						
29	2004	JJA	20.5	0.19						
30	2005	LIA	20.0	5.10						
30	2006	LIA	20.2	0.12						
33	2007	LIA	25.0	316						
34	Normal		25.0	3.10						
35	1.101.101		sinne	0.09		#DIV/0		٥n		
36	Single Regression		intercept	25.00	#DIV/0	#DIV/0		<u> </u>		
37			Correlation	0.40	#DIV/0	#DIV/0				
38			2 SHORE OF	0.10	101000	10107-01	-			
39			slope	#VALUE	#VALUP	#VALUP				
40	Multi Regression		intercept	#VALUE						
41			Correlation	#DIV/0!						

For Example: Select Zonal Mean height.

3rd step

Confirm a regression coefficient at D35 and a constant at D36.

Input a regression equation "=\$D\$35 * \$D4+\$D\$36" at G4.

Copy G4 and Paste G5:G33.

In case of precipitation, should be E, H instead of D, G.

36 M	icrosoft Excel - ExerciseFo	rGuidanceFor	rSample							
1	ファイル(<u>E</u>) 編集(<u>E</u>) 表	眎(<u>⊻</u>) 挿入((<u>I</u>) 書式(<u>O</u>) ソ	ソール(<u>I</u>) デー	タ(<u>D</u>) ウィンI	ドウ(<u>W</u>) ヘルス	プ(<u>H</u>)			
i D	💕 🚽 🔓 🔒 🛃	🍄 📖 🐰	la 🛍 • 🛷 🖡	9 - (2 - 😣	$\Sigma \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} A \\ Z \end{array} \downarrow \begin{array}{c} Z \\ A \end{array} \downarrow$	100% 🚯		• 💿 📕 MS Pゴシ	ッック	
	G4 🔻	∱ =\$D\$35×	*\$D4+\$D\$36							
	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J
1	Tokyo	JAPAN	Observation				Forecast		Probabilistic Forecast	
2	Year	Target	Mean Temp.	Predictor 1	Predictor 2	Predictor 3	Xs	Regression Error	N(Xs, ơn)	4
3			degC	Z3040					Prob. of above-normal	
4	1979	JJA	25.7	-4.68			24.6			
5	1980	JJA	23.6	-0.79						
7	1090	JJA	24.2	-0.45						
8	1902	LIA	23.9	-4.22						
q	1984	. LIA	25.5	-457						-
10	1985	JJA	20.0	-416						
11	1986	JJA	23.9	-4.75						-
12	1987	JJA	25.5	-4.45						
13	1988	JJA	23.9	1.86						
14	1989	JJA	24.0	-1.56						
15	1990	JJA	25.9	-0.52						
16	1991	JJA	25.3	0.25						
17	1992	JJA	24.4	-4.52						
18	1993	JJA	23.0	-7.23						
19	1994	JJA	26.5	-3.21						
20	1995	JJA	25.4	0.92						
21	1996	JJA	24.9	-0.59						
22	1997	JJA	25.4	1./3						
23	1998	JJA	24.7	1./4						
24	1999	JJA	20.7	3./						-
20	2000	. LIA	20.2	3.81						
27	2001		25.9	3.84						
28	2002	JJA	24.0	3.47						-
29	2004	JJA	26.5	6.19						
30	2005	JJA	25.6	1.71						
31	2006	JJA	25.2	5.12						
32	2007	JJA	25.5	6.36						
33	2008	JJA	25.0	3.16						
34	Normal		25.0							
35			slope	0.09	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		σn		
36	Single Regression		intercept	25.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	-			
37	-		Correlation	0.40	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!				
38										
39	Male Deserved		slope	#VALUE	#VALUE	#VALUE				
40	Multi Regression		Intercept	#VALUE						
41			Correlation	#DIV/0!						

	24.6 Excel - ExerciseFo	rGuidanceFo	rSample					
8	ファイル(E) 編集(E) 表	示(⊻) 挿入	(I) 書式(Q)	ツール(I) デー・	タ(<u>D</u>) ウィン	ドウ(型) へい	プ(H)	
En		X P0 99	Do DR + call	0.01.10	x - 61 21	Ma .83 100%		
		 Ing P Anneor 		350	- · Z • A •			1
_	G4 •	× =\$U\$35	*\$04*\$0\$30					
	A	B	Charactine	U	E	P	G	
0	Токуо	Torrak	Observation	Developer 1	Developer 2	Deciliators 2	Forecast	Dearer
2	Tear	rarget	dea C	72040	Predictor 2	Predictor 5	^\$	regre
Å	1070	. 1. 16	0020	-4.69			24.6	
5	1980	. L IA	23.6	-0.79			24.9	
6	1981	.L IA	24.2	-0.45			25.0	
7	1982	JUA	23.9	-4.22			24.6	
8	1983	LIA	23.9	-5.55			245	
9	1984	JUA	25.5	-4.57			24.6	
10	1985	JUA	24.8	-4.16			24.6	
11	1986	JUA	23.9	-4.75			24.6	
12	1987	JUA	25.5	-4.45			24.6	
13	1988	JUA	23.9	1.86			25.2	
14	1989	JUA	24.0	-1.56			24.9	
15	1990	AUL	25.9	-0.52			25.0	
16	1991	AUL	25.3	0.25			25.0	
17	1992	AUL	24.4	-4.52			24.6	
18	1993	JUA	23.0	-7.23			24.3	
19	1994	JUA	26.5	-3.21			24.7	
20	1995	JUA	25.4	0.92			25.1	
21	1996	AUL.	24.9	-0.59			24.9	
22	1997	AUL	25.4	1.73			25.2	
23	1998	AUL	24.7	7.74			25.7	
24	1999	AUL	25.7	3.7			25.3	
25	2000	JUA	26.2	1.38			25.1	
26	2001	JUA	26.0	3.81			25.3	
27	2002	JUA	25.9	3.84			25.3	
28	2003	JUA	24.0	3.47			25.3	
29	2004	AUL	26.5	6.19			25.6	
30	2005	AUL	25.6	1.71			25.2	
31	2006	JUA	25.2	5.12			25.5	
32	2007	JUA	25.5	6.36			25.6	
33	2008	JUA	25.0	3.16			25.3	
34	Normal		25.0					82

4th step

This is the end of single regression model. You can see a time series line chart of forecast and observation. Confirm an anomaly correlation coefficient at D37.

A Microsoft	Excel - ExerciseForGuidanceF	orSemple					()														0.0
1 2741	(E) 編集(E) 表示(A) 择。	A(I) ##C(Q)	ソール(1) グラ	フ(日) ウイン	FOOD NW	ブ(出)	_										開閉を入力し	CKREW.			0 >
0 2 3	104175×	20.00-01	n . n . a	E-shi ti !	14-0	10.00	I O I MS PU	340	- 11	- 213		et des set se	100.000	1.11.12	Lan (m) (Δ -				
257 I	17 . 5									-											
	A B	0	D	E	F	G	н	1	3	K	L	M	N	0	P.	0	19	1.5	T	U	V -
1	TONYO JAPAN	Observation				Forecast		Probabilistic Fore-cast													
2	Vear Target	Mian Temp	Predictor 1	Predictor 2	Predictor 3	Xa	Fiegensolon Erro	e NOXa, or n)										_			
3		degO	23040					Prob of above-normal	- F							· .					
4	1979 JUA	25.7	~4.68			24.0							- 0	lime Serie	is of fore	ecast an	d observ	ation			
5	4UL 0881	23.6	-0.79			24.9															
0	AUL 1981	24.2	-0.45			25.0			27.0	-									1 100%		
7	1982 JJA	23.9	-4.22			24.6													1.000		
0	ALL 0901	23.9	-5.55			24.5											a	N I	90%		
9	1984 JUA	25.5	-4.57			24.6			26.0	-					1	-1	-	1	0.00		
10	1985 J.M	24.8	-416			24.0				1			. ľ		1 .	N	14	110	00.4		
11	AUL 0001	23.9	-4.75			24.6			1000	1		A	1 .	1 1	10	In	and the	V	70%		
12	1987 J.M	20.0	-4.45			24.0			25.0	1	<	1 1	X		14	V	11		•		
10	1968 3.04	23.9	180			25.2				1	-		11	N		•	V		- 60%	(Carton Carto	of strengt
14	1000 .04	240	-156			24.0				11	N 1	V	11	17			V.		100	Pige	or addive-
15	1990 Jun	12.2	-0.92			25.0			240	V	-	•	-	V					21.4		rvation
17	1007 114	04.4	-150			25.0			_					V					405	Pore	cast
10	1002 14	220	-7.05			24.9			23.0	-				<u> </u>					- 000		
10	1004-14	06.6	-3.01			24.7													- 30%		
20	1995 14	25.4	0.92			25.1													1.00		
21	1996 J.M	24.9	-058			24.9			22.0	-											
22	1997 JJA	25.4	1.73			25.2													- 10%		
23	1998 J.JA	24.7	7.74			25.7				10000											
24	1999 JUA	25.7	3.7			25.3			21.0		1.1								05		
25	AUL 0002	26.2	1.38			25.1				2 8 4	6 6 6	5861	19 00 00	19 00 00	885	220	5883	5885	S.		
26	2001 J.JA	26.0	3.81			25.3			1.1							- + H	222	1 1 1 1 1	- 69		
27	2002 JUA	25.9	384			25.3															
28	2003 JJA	24.0	3.47			25.3															
29	ALL 1002	265	6.1.9			25.6															
30	2005 JUA	25.6	1.71			25.2															
31	2006 JUA	25.2	512			25.5															
32	2007 JJA	25.5	6.36			25.6															
33	2008 JUA	25.0	3.16			25.3															
34 Norma	(25.0				-															
35		slope	0.09	#DEV/O	, BDEV/O		dn.	-													
30 Single	Regression	intercest.	25.00	#DEV/O	#DEV/O																
31		Correlation	0.40	alev/o	#DEV/O																
38		1.00		-		-															
30	O- market	arope	IVALUE	WALLE	IVALUE																
40 Multi	megression	witercest	avalues																		
41		Comelation	0.40																		
46																					
44						_															

2-3 Questions

What predictor do you select?

Can you get an accuracy guidance?

How does its guidance predict the hottest/coldest/drought/wet year in your country?

3. Multiple Regression model

The multiple regression model assumes predictand is sum of a linear combination of predictors.

Multiple regression model is written as

 $Y = a_t x_t + b + \epsilon_t \quad t=1,2,3,...,n$

Y is objective variable (i.e. predictand), **x** is predictors, **a** is regression coefficients, **b** is constant. ϵ is error term.

3-1 Methods

Preparation and 1st step is same as single regression model.

2nd step

Open GPVdata.xls and Indices.xls.

Select some predictors and Paste it on D,E,F line. Try each of predictors until you can find the most effective combination of predictors while confirming anomaly correlation coefficient at D37,E37,F37.

In case of precipitation, should be E, F, G line instead of D, E, F line.

🛛 Mi	crosoft Excel - ExerciseFor	rGuidanceFo	rSample											
	 ⑦ ファイル(E) 編集(E) 表示(V) 挿入(I) 書式(Q) ツール(I) データ(D) ウィンドウ(W) ヘルブ(H) □ 2 日 3 日 3 (1) ジ (1) 単式(Q) ツール(I) データ(D) ウィンドウ(W) ヘルブ(H) □ 2 日 3 (1) ジ (1) 単式(Q) ツール(I) データ(D) クィンドウ(W) ヘルブ(H) ■ 3 (1) 単式(Q) ツール(I) データ(D) クィンドウ(W) ヘルブ(H) ■ 4 (2) (1) 単式(Q) ツール(I) データ(D) クィンドウ(W) ヘルブ(H) ■ 4 (2) (1) 単式(Q) ツール(I) データ(D) クィンドウ(W) ヘルブ(H) ■ 4 (2) (1) 単式(Q) ツール(I) データ(D) クィンドウ(W) ヘルブ(H) ■ 4 (2) (1) 単式(Q) ワール(I) データ(D) クィンドウ(W) ヘルブ(H) ■ 4 (2) (1) 単式(Q) ワール(I) データ(D) クィンドウ(W) ヘルブ(H) ■ 4 (2) (1) 単式(Q) ワール(I) データ(D) クィンドウ(W) ヘルブ(H) ■ 4 (2) (1) 単式(Q) ワール(I) データ(D) クィンドウ(W) ヘルブ(H) ■ 4 (2) (1) 単式(Q) ワール(I) データ(D) クィンドウ(W) ヘルブ(H) ■ 4 (2) (1) 単式(Q) ワール(I) データ(D) クィンドウ(W) ヘルブ(H) ■ 4 (2) (1) 単式(Q) ワール(I) データ(D) クィンドウ(W) ヘルブ(H) ■ 4 (2) (1) 単式(Q) ワール(I) データ(D) クィンドウ(W) ヘルブ(H) ■ 4 (2) (1) 単式(Q) ワール(I) データ(D) クィンドウ(W) ヘルブ(H) ■ 4 (2) (1) 単式(Q) ワール(I) データ(D) クィンドウ(W) ヘルブ(H) ■ 4 (2) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1													
	💕 🛃 💪 🔒 🖪 💁 🕻	🍄 📖 🐰	🛅 🛍 • 🟈 🕒	7 - 🔍 - 😣	$\Sigma \rightarrow A \downarrow A \downarrow A \downarrow $	100% 🕼 🚛		🗸 🕜 📘 MSPITS	ッック					
-	D4 👻	f ∗ −4.68	<u></u>											
	A	В	C	D	E	F	G	Н	I					
1	Tokyo	JAPAN	Observation				Fore cast		Probabilistic Forecast					
2	Year	Target	Mean Temp.	Predictor 1	Predictor 2	Predictor 3	Xs	Regression Error	N(Xs, σn)					
3			deg C	Z3040	IOBW SST	WNP RAIN			Prob. of above-normal					
4	1979	JJA	25.7	-4.68	-0.01	0.09								
5	1980	JJA	23.6	-0.79	0.05	-0.64								
6	1981	JJA	24.2	-0.45	-0.03	0.17								
7	1982	JJA	23.9	-4.22	0.06	0.51								
8	1983	JJA	23.9	-5.55	0.16	-0.94								
9	1984	JJA	25.5	-4.57	-0.16	0.34								
11	1900	JUA	24.0	-4.16	-0.19	-0.76	-							
12	1900	. I. IA	25.5	-4.45	0.19	-0.02								
13	1988	. I. IA	20.0	1.86	0.20	-0.35								
14	1989	JUA	24.0	-1.56	-0.2	0.61								
15	1990	JUA	25.9	-0.52	0.03	02								
16	1991	JJA	25.3	0.25	0.04	-0.01								
17	1992	JJA	24.4	-4.52	0.16	-0.71								
18	1993	JJA	23.0	-7.23	-0.03	-0.12								
19	1994	JJA	26.5	-3.21	-0.09	0.74								
20	1995	JJA	25.4	0.92	0.03	-0.7								
21	1996	JJA	24.9	-0.59	-0.01	-0.21								
22	1997	JJA	25.4	1.73	0.03	0.76								
23	1998	JJA	24.7	7.74	0.36	-0.95								
24	1999	JJA	25.7	3.7	-0.27	0.17								
25	2000	JJA	26.2	1.38	-0.2	0.38								
26	2001	JJA	26.0	3.81	0.04	0.39								
27	2002	JJA	25.9	3.84	0.09	0.3								
20	2003	JJA	24.0	3.47	-0.06	0.02								
30	2004	. LIA	20.5	1.71	-0.06	-0.21								
31	2005	. I. IA	25.0	512	-0.06	-0.2								
32	2000	. I. IA	25.5	636	0.00	-019								
33	2007	JUA	25.0	316	-0.21	0.23								
34	Normal		25.0	0.10	0.21									
35			slope	0.09	-0.52	0.59		σn						
36	Single Regression		intercept	25,00	25,00	25.00								
37			Correlation	0.40	0.08	0.32								
38														
39			slope	0.09	0.23	0.00								
40	Multi Regression		intercept	25.00										
41			Correlation	#DIV/0!										

For Example: Zonal mean height and Indian Ocean SST and Western North Pacific Precipitation are selected as predictors for temperature in Tokyo.

3rd step

If you select two predictors, input "=D39 * D4+E39 * E4+D40" at G4. If you select three predictors, input "=D39 * D4+E39 * E4+F39 * F4+D40" at G4.

Copy G4 and Paste G5:G33.

And you can confirm an anomaly correlation coefficient at D41.

If the value of E41 is less than those of D37, E37, F37, let's try the other combination of predictors until you can get high accuracy multiple regression equation.

In case of precipitation, should be E, F, G, H line instead of D, E, F, G line.

🛛 M	Microsoft Excel - ExerciseForGuidanceForSample M ファイル(E) 編集(E) 表示(Y) 挿入(I) 書式(Q) ツール(I) データ(D) ウィンドウ(W) ヘルプ(H)													
	ファイル(E) 編集(<u>E</u>) 表	示(⊻) 挿入(<u>[])</u> 書式(<u>O</u>) %	ソール(I) デー	タ(<u>D)</u> ウィント	[∽] ウ(<u>₩</u>) ∿レプ	'(<u>日</u>)							
ļ D	🖻 🖬 🖪 🔒 🗐 🗳 💁	🍄 🛍 🕺	la 🛍 • 🛷 🕛	9 - 🖓 - 😣	$\Sigma \cdot \overset{A}{Z} \downarrow \overset{Z}{A} \downarrow ($	🏨 🦓 100%		・ 💿 🗧 MS Pゴミ	ック					
	G5 🛛 🔻	∱ = \$D\$39×	*\$D5+\$E\$39*\$	E5+\$F\$39*\$F	5+\$D\$40									
	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I					
1	Tokyo	JAPAN	Observation				Fore cast		Probabilistic Foreca					
2	Year	Target	Mean Temp.	Predictor 1	Predictor 2	Predictor 3	Xs	Regression Error	N(Xs, ơn)					
3			deg C	Z3040	NINOWEST	WNP RAIN			Prob. of above-nor					
4	1979	JJA	25.7	-4.68	-0.03	0.09	24.7							
5	1980	JJA	23.6	-0.79	-0.1	-0.64	24.8							
6	1981	JJA	24.2	-0.45	0.04	0.17	25.0							
-/	1982	JJA	23.9	-4.22	-0.17	0.51	24.5							
0	1903	JUA	23.9	-0.55	-0.14	-0.94	24.0							
10	1904	LIA	20.0	-4.57	0.05	-0.76	24.0							
11	1986	LIA	29.0	-4.75	-0.02	0.70	24.7							
12	1987	JUA	25.5	-4.45	-013	-0.02	24.6							
13	1988	. LIA	23.9	1.86	0.10	-0.35	25.2							
14	1989	JUA	24.0	-1.56	0.01	0.61	24.9							
15	1990	JUA	25.9	-0.52	0.01	02	25.0							
16	1991	JJA	25.3	0.25	-0.17	-0.01	24.8							
17	1992	JJA	24,4	-4.52	-0.23	-0.71	24.5							
18	1993	JJA	23.0	-7.23	-0.47	-0.12	24.0							
19	1994	JJA	26.5	-3.21	-0.05	0.74	24.7							
20	1995	JJA	25.4	0.92	0	-0.7	25.1							
21	1996	JJA	24.9	-0.59	0.17	-0.21	25.2							
22	1997	JJA	25.4	1.73	-0.31	0.76	24.7							
23	1998	JJA	24.7	7.74	0.12	-0.95	25.6							
24	1999	JJA	25.7	3.7	0.03	0.17	25.3							
25	2000	JJA	26.2	1.38	0.15	0.38	25.3							
26	2001	JJA	26.0	3.81	0.27	0.39	25.5							
27	2002	JJA	25.9	3.84	0.01	0.3	25.2							
28	2003	JJA	24.0	3.47	0.26	0.02	25.5							
29	2004	JUA	26.5	6.19	0.08	0.33	25.5							
30	2005	JUA LIA	20.0	E./1	0.19	-0.21	20.3							
31	2000	JUA	20.2	0.12	0.15	-0.2	20.0							
32	2007	LIA	25.5	0.30	0.19	-0.19	25.0							
34	Normal	OUA	25.0	5.10	0.03	0.20	20.2							
35	Norman		slone	0.09	212	0.59		бn						
36	Single Regression		intercent	25.00	25.00	25.00		011						
37			Correlation	0.40	0.38	0.32								
38				0.10	0.00	0.02								
39			slope	0.06	1.15	0.00								
40	Multiple Regression		intercept	25.00		1.00								
41			Correlation	0.43										
				0.10										

For Example: Selecting NINOWEST SST instead of Indian Ocean SST make the correlation coefficient increase.

4th step

This is the end of multiple regression model.

You can see a time series line chart of forecast and observation.

In case of precipitation, input "=H4^4" at I4 to power of 4, and copy I4 and paste I5:I33.



3-2 Questions

What predictors do you select?

Can you get more accuracy guidance than single regression model?

How does its guidance predict the hottest/coldest/drought/wet year in your country?

3-3 Reference

See the first reference materials about regression model in detail.

4. Probabilistic Forecast

Seasonal forecast has uncertainty due to chaotic character of the atmospheric flow. Therefore it is necessary to take into account uncertainty of forecast. To do this, the best method is the probabilistic forecast. Here we present probabilistic forecast issued by the Japan Meteorological Agency (JMA) and verification of probabilistic forecast.

The Probability Density Function (PDF) is assumed as normal distribution (Gaussian distribution) with mean x_s and standard deviation σ_n (Fig. 4). The mean x_s is predicted by single/multiple regression model and standard deviation σ_n is assumed as root mean square error of regression model.

JMA has issued three-categorical probabilistic forecast based on this guidance around 25^{th} every month (Fig. 5).



Figure 4 Schematic diagram of forecast probability density function (PDF)



Figure 5 Schematic diagram of three categorical forecast Dash lines indicate threshold value.

4-1 Methods

Preparation

ExerciseForGuidance.xls

Note the line for precipitation same as multiple regression model.

1^{st} step

To calculate square of regression error, input "=(\$G4-\$C4)^2" at H4.

$$\varepsilon^2 = \{y - (ax + b)\}^2$$

Copy H4 and Pate H5:H33.

In case of precipitation, should be I, J line instead of G, H line.

蜜 Mi	crosoft Excel - ExerciseFo	rGuidanceFor	Sample							
8	ファイル(E) 編集(E) 表	际(⊻) 挿入(<u>I)</u> 書式(<u>O</u>) 、	ソール(<u>T</u>) デー	タ(<u>D</u>) ウィンド	[×] ウ(<u>W</u>) ヘルプ	(<u>H</u>)			
Ē		ABC AMIN V	n 🙉 - 🛷 🖿	0 - 0 - 10	$\Sigma = A [Z] [0]$	a a 100%			wл	
		✓ IS< 100	-= •= • • •		Z * Z * A * [100/0			~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	
	H4 🔻	<u>∱</u> =(\$G4−\$	C4)^2							
	A	В	C	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J
1	Tokyo	JAPAN	Observation				Forecast		Probabilistic Forecast	
2	Year	Target	Mean Temp.	Predictor 1	Predictor 2	Predictor 3	Xs	Regression Error	N(Xs, ơn)	
3			deg C	Z3040	NINOWEST	WNP RAIN			Prob. of above-normal	
4	1979	JJA	25.7	-4.68	-0.03	0.09	24.7	1.040		
5	1980	JJA	23.6	-0.79	-0.1	-0.64	24.8	1.529		
6	1981	JJA	24.2	-0.45	0.04	0.17	25.0	0.669		
7	1982	JJA	23.9	-4.22	-0.17	0.51	24.5	0.419		
8	1983	JJA	23.9	-5.55	-0.14	-0.94	24.5	0.361		
9	1984	JJA	25.5	-4.57	0.05	0.34	24.8	0.520		
10	1985	JJA	24.8	-4.16	0	-0.76	24.7	0.003		
11	1986	JJA	23.9	-4.75	-0.02	0.77	24.7	0.620		
12	1987	JJA	25.5	-4.45	-0.13	-0.02	24.6	0.847		
13	1988	JJA	23.9	1.86	0.07	-0.35	25.2	1.673		
14	1989	JJA	24.0	-1.56	0.01	0.61	24.9	0.839		
15	1990	JJA	25.9	-0.52	0.01	0.2	25.0	0.847		
16	1991	JJA	25.3	0.25	-0.17	-0.01	24.8	0.231		
17	1992	JJA	24.4	-4.52	-0.23	-0.71	24.5	0.004		
18	1993	JJA	23.0	-7.23	-0.47	-0.12	24.0	1.040		
19	1994	JJA	26.5	-3.21	-0.05	0.74	24.7	3.074		
20	1995	JJA	25.4	0.92	0	-0.7	25.1	0.119		
21	1996	JJA	24.9	-0.59	0.17	-0.21	25.2	0.067		
22	1997	JJA	25.4	1.73	-0.31	0.76	24.7	0.424		
23	1998	JJA	24.7	7.74	0.12	-0.95	25.6	0.825		
24	1999	JJA	25.7	3.7	0.03	0.17	25.3	0.194		
25	2000	JJA	26.2	1.38	0.15	0.38	25.3	0.891		
26	2001	JJA	26.0	3.81	0.27	0.39	25.5	0.210		
27	2002	JJA	25.9	3.84	0.01	0.3	25.2	0.429		
28	2003	JJA	24.0	3.47	0.26	0.02	25.5	2.279		ļ
29	2004	JJA	26.5	6.19	0.08	0.33	25.5	1.065		
30	2005	JJA	25.6	1.71	0.19	-0.21	25.3	0.077		
31	2006	JJA	25.2	5.12	0.15	-0.2	25.5	0.080		
32	2007	JJA	25.5	6.36	0.19	-0.19	25.6	0.011		
33	2008	JJA	25.0	3.16	0.03	0.23	25.2	0.051		
34	Normal		25.0							
35			slope	0.09	2.12	0.59		σ'n		
36	Single Regression		intercept	25.00	25.00	25.00				
L 37			Correlation	0.40	0.38	0.32			1	

2^{nd} step

To calculate Root Mean square error, input "=SQRT(AVERAGE(H4:H33))" at H34. This value is used as standard deviation of normal distribution.

36 M	Microsoft Excel - ExerciseForGuidanceForSample										
	ファイル(<u>E</u>) 編集(<u>E</u>) 表	际(⊻) 挿入(<u>I)</u> 書式(<u>O</u>) ソ	ソール(<u>エ</u>) デー	夕(<u>D</u>) ウィンド	^ド ウ(<u>W</u>) ヘルプ	(<u>H</u>)				
	💕 🖬 🖪 🔒 🛃 ঝ	🍄 🛍 🐰	la 🖺 • 🛷 🖣	9 - 🔍 - 😣	$\Sigma \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} A \\ Z \end{array} \downarrow \begin{array}{c} Z \\ A \end{array} \downarrow \left \begin{array}{c} Z \\ A \end{array} \right $	100% 🚯	•	• 🕜 🔤 MS Pゴシ	ッック		
_	H34 👻	🔊 =SQRT()	AVERAGE(H4:H	(33))							
	Α	B	C	D	F	F	G	н	I	J	
1	Tokvo	JAPAN	Observation				Forecast		Probabilistic Forecast		
2	Year	Target	Mean Temp.	Predictor 1	Predictor 2	Predictor 3	Xs	Regression Error	N(Xs. ofn)		
3			deg C	Z3040	NINOWEST	WNP RAIN			Prob. of above-normal		
4	1979	JJA	25.7	-4.68	-0.03	0.09	24.7	1.040			
5	1980	JJA	23.6	-0.79	-0.1	-0.64	24.8	1.529			
6	1981	JJA	24.2	-0.45	0.04	0.17	25.0	0.669			
7	1982	JJA	23.9	-4.22	-0.17	0.51	24.5	0.419			
8	1983	JJA	23.9	-5.55	-0.14	-0.94	24.5	0.361			
9	1984	JJA	25.5	-4.57	0.05	0.34	24.8	0.520			
10	1985	JJA	24.8	-4.16	0	-0.76	24.7	0.003			
11	1986	JJA	23.9	-4.75	-0.02	0.77	24.7	0.620			
12	1987	JJA	25.5	-4.45	-0.13	-0.02	24.6	0.847			
13	1988	JJA	23.9	1.86	0.07	-0.35	25.2	1.673			
14	1989	JJA	24.0	-1.56	0.01	0.61	24.9	0.839			
15	1990	JJA	25.9	-0.52	0.01	0.2	25.0	0.847			
16	1991	JJA	25.3	0.25	-0.17	-0.01	24.8	0.231			
17	1992	JJA	24.4	-4.52	-0.23	-0.71	24.5	0.004			
18	1993	JJA	23.0	-7.23	-0.47	-0.12	24.0	1.040			
19	1994	JJA	26.5	-3.21	-0.05	0.74	24.7	3.074			
20	1995	JJA	25.4	0.92	0	-0.7	25.1	0.119			
21	1996	JJA	24.9	-0.59	0.17	-0.21	25.2	0.067			
22	1997	JJA	25.4	1.73	-0.31	0.76	24.7	0.424			
23	1998	JJA	24.7	7.74	0.12	-0.95	25.6	0.825			
24	1999	JJA	25.7	3.7	0.03	0.17	25.3	0.194			
25	2000	JJA	26.2	1.38	0.15	0.38	25.3	0.891			
26	2001	JJA	26.0	3.81	0.27	0.39	25.5	0.210			
27	2002	JJA	25.9	3.84	0.01	0.3	25.2	0.429			
28	2003	JJA	24.0	3.47	0.26	0.02	25.5	2.279			
29	2004	JJA	26.5	6.19	0.08	0.33	25.5	1.065			
30	2005	JJA	25.6	1.71	0.19	-0.21	25.3	0.077		-	
31	2006	JJA	25.2	5.12	0.15	-0.2	25.5	0.080			
32	2007	JJA	25.5	6.36	0.19	-0.19	25.6	0.011			
33	2008	JJA	25.0	3.16	0.03	0.23	25.2	0.051	1	-	
34	Normal		25.0					0.825			
35			slope	0.09	2.12	0.59		σ'n			
36	Single Regression		intercept	25.00	25.00	25.00					
1.37			Correlation	0.40	0.38	0.32					

3rd step

Assuming normal distribution $N(X_s, \sigma_n)$, input "=1-NORMDIST(\$C\$34,\$G4,\$H\$34,TRUE)" at I4.

Copy I4 and paste I5:I33.

The values of I line indicate the probability of above-normal.

In case of precipitation, should be K line instead of I line.

🔀 M	icrosoft Excel - ExerciseFo	rGuidanceFor	Sample							
	ファイル(E) 編集(E) 表	眎(⊻) 挿入(<u>I)</u> 書式(<u>O</u>) 、	ソール(<u>エ</u>) デー	タ(<u>D</u>) ウィント	ドウ(<u>₩)</u> へルプ	'(<u>Н</u>)			
In	RAARN	🍄 🛍 🐰	h 🖪 • 🛷 🗖	2 - 12 - 10	Σ - A↓ Z↓ (100%		- 💿 📕 MS Pゴシ	ッック	
	SUM V X V	& =1-NOR	MDIST(\$0\$34	\$G4 \$H\$34 T	RIE)					
	A	В	C C	D	E	F	G	Н	Ι	J
1	Tokyo	JAPAN	Observation				Forecast		Probabilistic Forecast	
2	Year	Target	Mean Temp.	Predictor 1	Predictor 2	Predictor 3	Xs	Regression Error	N(Xs, σn)	
3			deg C	Z3040	NINOWEST	WNP RAIN			Prob. of above-normal	
4	1979	JJA	25.7	-4.68	-0.03	0.09	24.7	1.040	=1-NORMDIST(\$C\$34,\$0	
5	1980	JJA	23.0	-0.79	-0.1	-0.64	24.8	1.529	42%	
7	1982	LIA	24.2	-4.22	-017	0.17	23.0	0.009	29%	
8	1983	JJA	23.9	-5.55	-014	-0.94	24.5	0.361	27%	
9	1984	JJA	25.5	-4.57	0.05	0.34	24.8	0.520	39%	
10	1985	JJA	24.8	-4.16	0	-0.76	24.7	0.003	38%	
11	1986	JJA	23.9	-4.75	-0.02	0.77	24.7	0.620	35%	
12	1987	JJA	25.5	-4.45	-0.13	-0.02	24.6	0.847	31 %	
13	1988	JJA	23.9	1.86	0.07	-0.35	25.2	1.673	59%	
14	1989	JJA	24.0	-1.56	0.01	0.61	24.9	0.839	46%	
15	1990	JJA	25.9	-0.52	0.01	0.2	25.0	0.847	49%	
10	1991	JJA	25.3	0.25	-0.17	-0.01	24.8	0.231	41%	
10	1992	LIA	24.4	-4.52	-0.23	-0.71	24.0	1.040	20%	
19	1994	. LIA	20.0	-3.21	-0.05	0.12	24.0	3.074	38%	
20	1995	JUA	25.4	0.21	0.00	-07	25.1	0119	53%	
21	1996	JJA	24.9	-0.59	0.17	-0.21	25.2	0.067	58%	
22	1997	JJA	25.4	1.73	-0.31	0.76	24.7	0.424	38%	
23	1998	JJA	24.7	7.74	0.12	-0.95	25.6	0.825	77%	
24	1999	JJA	25.7	3.7	0.03	0.17	25.3	0.194	62%	
25	2000	JJA	26.2	1.38	0.15	0.38	25.3	0.891	62%	
26	2001	JJA	26.0	3.81	0.27	0.39	25.5	0.210	74%	
27	2002	JJA	25.9	3.84	0.01	0.3	25.2	0.429	52%	
20	2003	. LIA	24.0	3.47	0.26	0.02	20.0	2.279	73%	
30	2004 2005	JJA	25.6	1 71	019	-0.21	25.3	0.077	65%	
31	2006	JJA	25.2	5.12	0.15	-0.2	25.5	0.080	72%	
32	2007	JJA	25.5	6.36	0.19	-0.19	25.6	0.011	77%	
33	2008	JJA	25.0	3.16	0.03	0.23	25.2	0.051	61 %	
34	Normal		I 25.0	I				0.825		
35			slope	0.09	2.12	0.59		σ'n		
36	Single Regression		intercept	25.00	25.00	25.00				
37	_		Correlation	0.40	0.38	0.32				
38				0.00	1.45	0.00				
40	Multiple Regression		intercent	25.00	1.15	0.00				
40	wardpie Regression		Correlation	0.43						
41			Correlation	0.45						

4th step

This is the end of probabilistic forecast.

You can see a time series bar chart of probabilistic forecast.



For Example: Completion of probabilistic forecast for temperature.



For Example: Completion of probabilistic forecast for precipitation.

4-2 Question

What is the difference of probability between temperature and precipitation?

4-3 Reference

See the second reference materials about seasonal forecast and predictability.

The following are additional exercises

5. Evaluation of Probability forecast

In this section, we will present two techniques for evaluating probability forecasting: one is the reliability diagram, which shows occurrence frequencies by forecast probability, and the Brier score used to evaluate the forecasts; and the other is the ROC (relative operating characteristic) curve, which is drawn based on "hit rates" and "false alarm rates" that are often used to evaluate forecasting techniques. Fig.6 shows examples of these diagrams.



Figure 6Performance of guidance for monthly forecasts for Eastern JapanLeft: Reliability diagram; Right: ROC curve

The red line in the diagram on the left shows occurrence frequencies by forecast probability, and the green line shows frequencies of forecast probabilities.

The curve in the diagram on the right shows combinations of hit rates and false alarm rates by forecast probability value.

a) Brier Score

The Brier score (hereinafter abbreviated to BS) is used as a score to enable a comprehensive evaluation of probability forecasting. The BS for two categories (written as b) is defined by formula (1):

$$b = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (p_i - v_i), \quad 0 \le p_i \le 1, \quad v_i \in \{0, 1\}$$
(1)

where N represents the total number of forecasts, p_i a forecast probability value, and v_i a variable that assumes 1 when the predicted phenomenon occurs and 0 when it does not occur. A smaller value of b represents a better result, and the BS is 0 for perfect prediction, where forecasts are deterministic, with probability values assuming either 0 or 100%, and where all of the forecasts come true.

Murphy (1973) showed that b can be mathematically decomposed into three terms. If we rewrite (1) by separating terms for occurrence of the phenomenon from those for non-occurrence, we obtain

$$b = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \sum_{t} (p_t - 1)^2 M_t + \sum_{t} (p_t - 0)^2 (N_t - M_t) \right\}$$
(2)

where *t* is a subscript assigned to each probability value (for example, $p_1 = 0.1$), M_t the number of predicted phenomena that actually occurred for the *t*th probability value, and N_t the number of forecasts made for the *t*th probability value. We can transform (2) further to obtain (3):

$$b = \sum_{t} (p_{t} - \frac{M_{t}}{N_{t}})^{2} \frac{N_{t}}{N} - \sum_{t} (\frac{M}{N} - \frac{M_{t}}{N_{t}})^{2} \frac{N_{t}}{N} + \frac{M}{N} (1 - \frac{M}{N})$$
(3)

where $M = \sum_{t} M_{t}$, which represents the total number of predicted phenomena that

actually occurred.

The first term of the right hand side of (3) is called "reliability." If we allow *brel* to be the reliability, then

$$brel = \sum_{t} \left(p_t - \frac{M_t}{N_t} \right)^2 \frac{N_t}{N}$$
(4)

where $\frac{M_t}{N_t}$ is the proportion of predicted phenomena that occurred to the total number

of forecasts for the *t*th probability value (hereinafter referred to as the occurrence frequency). A curve obtained by plotting the occurrence frequency for each forecast probability value is known as a reliability diagram. A smaller values of *brel* represents a larger number of forecasts made with forecast probabilities that match actual occurrence frequencies. If all points of the reliability curve are located on the 45-degree line where forecast probabilities and occurrence frequencies match, *brel* = 0.

The second term of (3) is called "resolution." If we allow it to be represented by *bres*, then

$$bres = \sum_{t} \left(\frac{M}{N} - \frac{M_{t}}{N_{t}}\right)^{2} \frac{N_{t}}{N}$$
(5)

The negative sign of *bres* in (3) indicates that larger values of *bres* represent better results. A larger value of *bres* indicates that the difference between the occurrence frequency of each forecast probability and the climatic frequency of the forecast event $\frac{M}{N}$ is greater. An examination of the reliability curve reveals that since the climatic

frequency $\frac{M}{N}$ for a three-category forecast is 33%, the greater the occurrence frequency of probabilities of 0% or 60% or higher, the greater the value of *bres* is and the better the results will be.

The third term in (3) is called "uncertainty." If we allow it to be represented by *bunc*, then

$$bunc = \frac{M}{N} \left(1 - \frac{M}{N}\right) \tag{6}$$

This term is not related to the performance of forecasting. It is a term that depends on the climatic frequency alone, which shows the level of uncertainty of the phenomenon.

These scores allow us to make judgments about the relative performance of forecasts, but not about their significance. An improvement rate with respect to a climatic value forecast is often used as a measure of the significance of forecasts. If we write the BS of a climatic value as bc, the improvement rate can be defined by the following formula:

$$BSS = \frac{bc - b}{bc} \tag{7}$$

BSS is called the "skill score." If $BSS \le 0$, the forecast is inferior to the climatic value forecast, and *BSS* assumes the maximum value (*BSS*=1) for a perfect forecast.

Likewise, the improvements rate with respect to a climatic value forecast can be defined for (4) and (5) as follows:

$$Brel = \frac{bc - brel}{bc} \tag{8}$$

$$Bres = \frac{bres}{bunc} \tag{9}$$

Brel = Bres = 1 for a perfect forecast.

These arguments make it clear that the reliability curve in the diagram on the left in Fig.3, which roughly follows the 45-degree line, shows a high level of reliability in the forecasting. Meanwhile, the occurrence rate assumes the highest value for the forecast probability of 10%, decreasing as the probability value becomes greater. The diagram also shows a positive value for BSS, which indicates that the forecasting is made with a higher level of skill compared to the forecast of climatic values.

b) ROC

An ROC (relative operating characteristic) curve is a diagram used to verify and evaluate probability forecasting based on economic values (user cost or loss). The curve is drawn to evaluate forecasting, using "hit rates" on the vertical axis and "false alarm rates" on the horizontal axis.

Table 1 shows the relationship between forecasts and observations for a case where an

event is predicted to occur with forecast probabilities of p_i or higher. The "hit rate" hr_i and the "false alarm rate" fr_i are defined as follows:

$$hr_{i} = \frac{A_{i}}{A_{i} + C_{i}} = \frac{\sum_{t=i}^{10} M_{t}}{M}$$
(10)

$$fr_{i} = \frac{B_{i}}{B_{i} + D_{i}} = \frac{\sum_{t=i}^{10} (N_{t} - M_{t})}{N - M}$$
(11)

 hr_i represents the proportion of predicted phenomena out of the total number of phenomena that actually occurred, and is called a "hit rate." The proportion of predicted phenomena that occurred to the total number of forecasts is often called a hit rate. The hit rate for an ROC curve refers to the "level of coverage," which represents the degree to which phenomena are covered by forecasts. Meanwhile, fr_i represents the proportion of predicted phenomena out of the total number of phenomena that did not occur, and is called a "false alarm rate."

		Observa	ation
		Yes	No
Forecost	Yes	Ai	Bi
FUIECast	No	Ci	Di

Table 1 Correspondence between forecast and observation for a case where a phenomenon was predicted with a forecast probability of p_i or higher

Exercise

A lot of case is needed to verify probabilistic forecast, so try to make some guidance at weather stations. It is possible to total all case of each station and season. But it is not necessary to total different variables such as temperature and precipitation. Because the skill generally varies with variables.

If you can get them enough, let's try to make a reliability diagram and calculate Brier Skill Score using Excel software.

Question

Can you get more accuracy guidance than the following Japanese guidance?

📧 Mi	crosoft Exce	- ExerciseFo	orGu	idanceForTead	her								
	ファイル(<u>E</u>)	編集(<u>E</u>) 著	長示()	<u>V</u>) 挿入(<u>I</u>)	書式(<u>O</u>)	ツール(I)	データ	(<u>D</u>) ウィンドウ	ν(<u>₩)</u> へレプ(<u>H</u>)				
	🞽 🗶 👌	3 3 4	ABC	🕰 X 🗈 (2 - 🚿	5-6-	S 😣	E → ⋛↓ Ă↓ 🛍	400%	·	• 🕜 💂 MS Pゴシック	,	
	G92	•	f*	=(F92-E92)/	'F92								
	A	В		С		D		E	F		G	Н	
55	2002	JJA		18.8			51%	0.2620		50%	0	0	
56	2003	JJA		18.5			57%	0.3222		60%	0	0	
57	2004	JJA		20.6			73%	0.0717		70%	1	1	
58	2005	JJA		20.6			37%	0.3915		40%	1	1	
59	2006	JJA		20.2			71%	0.0864		70%	1	1	
60	2007	JJA		20.6			53%	0.2216		50%	1	1	
61	2008	JJA		19.9			79%	0.0427		80%	1	1	
62	1979	JJA Naha		27.5			23%	0.0526		20%	0	0	
63	1980	JJA		28.4			48%	0.2738		50%	1	1	
64	1981	JJA		27.6			46%	0.2082		50%	0	0	
65	1982	JJA		27.4			28%	0.0793		30%	0	0	
66	1983	JJA		27.9			26%	0.0658		30%	0	0	
67	1984	JJA		27.9			18%	0.0320		20%	0	0	
68	1985	JJA		27.1			19%	0.0343		20%	0	0	
69	1986	JJA		27.9			16%	0.0262		20%	0	0	
70	1987	JJA		27.8			38%	0.1422		40%	0	0	
71	1988	JJA		28.5			64%	0.1274		60%	1	1	
72	1989	JJA		27.8			31%	0.0942		30%	0	0	
73	1990	JJA		28.5			48%	0.2672		50%	1	1	
74	1991	JUA		29.1			54%	0.2153		50%	1	1	
75	1992	. I. IA	-	27.6			31%	0.2165		30%	0	0	
76	1993	. I. IA		283			1.2%	0.0000		1.0%	1	1	
77	1994	. L IA		28.3			27%	0.5350		30%	1	1	
78	1995	. I. IA		20.0			57%	0.3271		60%	0	0	
79	1996	. I. IA		283			46%	0.0271		5.0%	1	1	
80	1997	LIA		20.0			62%	0.2050		60%	0	0	
81	1998	LIA	-	27.4			95%	0.0022	1	00%	1	1	
00	1000	LIA		20			50%	0.0022		60%	0	0	
02	2000	LIA	-	20.1			10%	0.0402		5.0%	0	0	
0.0	2000	LIA		20.1			74%	0.2313		70%	1	1	
95	2001	LIA		20.1			74%	0.5909		90%	0		
00	2002	LIA		20			70%	0.5809		7.0%	1	1	
00	2003	LIA		20.7			7 Z 70	0.0794		70%	1	1	
07	2004	JUA		20.2			60%	0.0350		7.0%	4	4	
00	2005	JUA		20.3			76%	0.1010		70%	4	4	
09	2000	JJA		20.4			70%	0.0301		00%	4	1	
90	2007	JJA		20.4			00%	0.0140		90%	4	1	
91	2008	JJA		28.7			59%	0.1719		00%	04.05		
92								0.2037	-Buste Delay Car	0.25)	
93								Brier Score	climate Brier 5 co	re	Drier Skill Score (BSS	,	
94											equation (7) of text		
95													
90													
97													
98													
99			\rightarrow										
100													
101													
102													
103													
H 4	▶ N \ Temp	erature / Pre	ecipit	tation), <u>Verific</u>	ation/								
コマン	۴												
		6											
			Ľ					2					

For Example: Calculating Brier Skill Score of JJA temperature, totaling Tokyo, Sapporo and Naha station in Japan.



For Example: Drawing Reliability Diagram of JJA temperature, totaling Tokyo, Sapporo and Naha station in Japan.

Reference

- Murphy, A. H. 1977: The value of climatorogical categories and probabilistic forecast in the cost-loss ratio situations. Mon. Weather Rev. 105 803-816
- Palmer, T. N. ,C. Brankovic and D.S. Richardson 2000: A probability and decision-model analysis of PROVOST seasonal multi-model ensemble integrations.